

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/869,897	10/15/2001	Atsushi Inomata	450118-02282	1251
7590 03/10/2005			EXAMINER	
William S Frommer			KIM, KEVIN	
Frommer Lawrence & Haug				
745 Fifth Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10151			2634	<del></del>

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. •					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	09/869,897	INOMATA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
<del></del>	Kevin Y Kim	2634			
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will,  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. 1ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed o	n 15 October 2001.				
	☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits					
closed in accordance with the practice u	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the appl	ication.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.	_				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	xaminer.				
•	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 October 2001</u> is/are: a)☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority doc					
2. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have been received in Ap	pplication No			
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the</li></ol>	ne priority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the International	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action fo	r a list of the certified copies not r	received.			
	•				
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-s	948) Paper No(s)	)/Mail Date			
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/6/01</u>.</li> </ol>	5) ☐ Notice of Int 6) ☐ Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 09/869,897

Art Unit: 2634

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. The title of the invention is excessively long and redundant. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Representative claim 1 is discussed for the purpose of brevity. But this discussion is applied to all the claims identified above as they include the same subject matter having one or more of the following indefinite language. Claim 1 calls for counting "the number of times of normalization, performed when generating a state metric within a predetermined time."

However, this claim limitation is not understood at all. First, what is involved in performing "normalization" is not understood. Likewise, what it is to generate "a state metric within a predetermined time" is not understood. Second, the language defining "an estimating means" is so confusing, particularly because of the use the phrase "based on the number of times of normalization counted by the counting means." In other words, when the estimating means estimates the error rate between the number of times of normalization and the error rate respectively determined for each different transmission system, it does so already "based on the

Art Unit: 2634

number of times of normalization counted by the counting means." And yet the claim uses this language again, creating confusion as to the role of this "number of normalization" when the error rate is estimated. Third, the preamble already defines a quadrature modulation system, therefore creating confusion as to the limitation "the error rate respectively determined for each different transmission system" or "each different coding rate." Finally, the error rate respectively determined for each different transmission system implies a plurality of error rates determined for different transmission systems. Then, which one of the plurality of error rates is corresponded with the number of times of normalization for estimating the error rate is not clear.

### Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Walker (US 5,463,403) teaches counting the number of normalization of computed path metric by a Viterbi decoder for error rate measurement.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Y Kim whose telephone number is 571-272-3039. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM --5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on 571-272-3056. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/869,897

Page 4

Art Unit: 2634

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Heur phin